CSCI 210: Computer Architecture Lecture 37: Faster Caches

Stephen Checkoway Oberlin College Jan. 12, 2022 Slides from Cynthia Taylor

Announcements

- Problem Set 12 due Friday at midnight
- Cache Lab (final project) due on the final exam day
- Course Evals!
 - Extra credit for 90% response rate
 - Currently at 62% (as of 2022-01-12 at 09:00)
- Office Hours Friday 13:30 14:30
 - On Zoom

```
for(int i = 0; i<10,000,000;i++)
sum+=A[i];
```

Assume each element of A is 4 bytes and sum is kept in a register. Assume a direct-mapped 32 kB cache with 32 byte blocks. Which changes would help the hit rate of the above code?

Selection	Change
А	Increase to 2-way set associativity
В	Increase block size to 64 bytes
С	Increase cache size to 64 KB
D	A and C combined
Е	A, B, and C combined

Three types of cache misses

- Compulsory (or cold-start) misses
 - first access to the data.
- Capacity misses
 - we missed only because the cache isn't big enough.
- Conflict misses
 - we missed because the data maps to the same index as other data that forced it out of the cache.

<u>address:</u>			
4	00000100		
8	00001000		
12	00001100		
4	00000100		
8	00001000		
20	00010100		
4	00000100		
8	00001000		
20	00010100		
24	00011000		
12	00001100		

00001000 00000100

8



DM cache

Cache Miss Type

Suppose you experience a cache miss on a block (let's call it block A). You have accessed block A in the past. There have been precisely 1027 different blocks accessed between your last access to block A and your current miss. Your block size is 32-bytes and you have a 64 kB cache (recall a kB = 1024 bytes). What kind of miss was this?

Selection	Cache Miss
А	Compulsory
В	Capacity
С	Conflict
D	Both Capacity and Conflict
Е	None of the above

Multilevel Caches

- Primary (or level-1) cache attached to CPU
 - Small, but fast
- Level-2 cache services misses from primary cache
 - Larger, slower, but still faster than main memory
- L-3 cache usually services multiple CPUs
- L-3 misses go to main memory

Multilevel Cache Example

- Given
 - CPU base CPI = 1, clock rate = 1 cycle/.25 ns
 - Miss rate/instruction = 2%
 - Main memory access time = 100 ns
- With just primary (L-1) cache
 - Miss penalty = 100 ns/(0.25 ns/cycle) = 400 cycles
 - Effective CPI = 1 + 0.02 × 400 = 9

- Now add L-2 cache
 - Access time = 5 ns
 - Global miss rate to main memory = 0.5%
- Primary miss with L-2 hit
 - Penalty = 5 ns/(0.25 ns/cycle) = 20 cycles
- Main memory still 400 cycle penalty, L1 miss rate of 2%
- The Total CPI will be
- A. $1 + 2 \times 20 + 5 \times 400$
- B. $1 + 0.02 \times 20 + 0.005 \times 400$
- C. $1 + 0.02 \times 20 \times 0.005 \times 400$
- D. $1 + 0.0195 \times 20 + 0.005 \times 400$

Multilevel Cache Considerations

- Primary cache
 - Focus on minimal hit time
- L-2 cache
 - Focus on low miss rate to avoid main memory access
 - Hit time has less overall impact
- Results
 - L-1 cache usually smaller than a single cache
 - L-1 block size smaller than L-2 block size
 - L-1 less associative than L-2

Interactions with Advanced CPUs

- Out-of-order CPUs can execute instructions during cache miss
 - Pending store stays in load/store unit
 - Dependent instructions wait in reservation stations
 - Independent instructions continue

Prefetching

- Hardware Prefetching
 - suppose you are walking through a single element in an array of large objects
 - hardware determines the "stride" and starts grabbing values early
- Software Prefetching

- Compiler adds extra instructions to load data before it is needed

Which data structure will have better memory access times assuming you have a prefetcher?

A. ArrayList

B. Linked List

C. There will not be any difference

Writing Cache-Aware Code

- Focus on your working set
- If your "working set" fits in L1 it will be vastly better than a "working set" that fits only on disk.
- If you have a large data set do processing on it in chunks.
- Think about regularity in data structures (can a prefetcher guess where you are going or are you pointer chasing)

Cache Simulator

• Take in a datatrace of load/stores from a real program

• Simulate running the program on a given cache

• Calculate how well a given cache would perform for that trace

Cache Parameters

• Always: Write-allocate, write-back, LRU replacement

- Change:
 - Cache size
 - Block size
 - Associativity
 - Miss penalty

Address Trace

Load/Store Address InstructionCount

- # 0 7fffed80 1
 # 0 10010000 10
 # 0 10010060 3
 # 1 10010030 4
 # 0 10010004 6
- # 0 10010064 3
- # 1 10010034 4

L/S: 0 for load, 1 for store

Simulation Results

Simulation results:

execution time	52268708	cycles
instructions	5136716	
memory accesses	1957764	
overall miss rate	0.79	
load miss rate	0.88	
CPI	10.18	
average memory access tim	e 24.07	cycles
dirty evictions	225876	
load_misses	1525974	
store_misses	30034	
load_hits	205909	
store_hits	195847	

What do you need to do?

• Create data structures that emulate a cache

• For each instruction, find where it would go in the cache, check if it's already there

 Calculate number of miss penalty cycles, load misses, store misses, instructions, etc

Reading

• Next lecture: Class Wrap Up